IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Technological Warfare: Threats To Humanitarian Law In Modern Conflicts

Name of Author: Kaustuva Vikash Nath

Designation of Author: Lawyer

Name of Department of Author: Law

Christ University, Bangalore, India

Abstract: Technological advancements have brought new tactics and capabilities that violate established international humanitarian law (IHL) norms, resulting in a dramatic shift of modern conflict. This article explores the impact of technology on humanitarian law in contemporary combat, focusing on autonomous weapons systems, drones, cyberwarfare, artificial intelligence, robotics, nanotechnology, etc. These technological advancements raise grave concerns about public safety, legal compliance, and ethical issues. Through an analysis of current problems and the recommendation of practical solutions, this study seeks to improve understanding of how humanitarian law might be modified to regulate and mitigate the risks connected with technology warfare. Modern technological warfare presents new challenges for international humanitarian law (IHL), which has its historical roots in the ideas of proportionality in the use of force, the separation of combatants and non-combatants, and the humane treatment of captives and civilians. The technology that has quickly advanced and gained widespread use such as drones, cyberwarfare instruments, and autonomous weaponry systems has altered the character of armed conflict and raised significant ethical, legal, and practical issues. Drones, for instance, have revolutionized military operations by enabling pinpoint strikes and lowering the hazards to military people. On the other hand, there are concerns over unlawful monitoring, specific killings, and harm to civilians due to mistargeting or misuse. Similarly, conventional notions of what defines warfare and who is accountable under existing legal systems are called into question by the capacity to launch cyberattacks on essential infrastructure and civilian services. This paper examines these concerns critically in order to address the humanitarian implications of technological warfare. It offers remedies like as increased regulatory oversight, moral guidelines for the creation and application of technology, and international collaboration. By encouraging discussion and raising awareness of these challenges, this study hopes to promote advocacy and policy development campaigns that defend humanitarian principles against the realities of contemporary conflict.

Index Terms **-** Technological warfare, humanitarian law, drones, Artificial Intelligence, Robotics, Nanotechnology, cyber warfare, autonomous weapons etc.

I. INTRODUCTION

Today, International Humanitarian Law (IHL), which is reflected in fundamental legal concepts, customary law, and treaties, firmly regulates the means and techniques of combat. Technology has fundamentally altered the nature of warfare in today's armed conflicts, presenting international humanitarian law (IHL) with both great opportunities and challenges. There has been a major advancement in military technology since the French Revolution. Artificial intelligence, robotics, drones, nanotechnology, digital and cyberwarfare tools, and autonomous weapons systems are just a few examples of the rapidly developing and proliferating technologies that have drastically altered the nature of military operations and raised serious questions about their adherence to established legal frameworks which are meant to protect civilians and uphold the human rights. International humanitarian law was historically formed in response to the devastating impacts of armed

war with the aim of lessening human suffering. These values include treating civilians and prisoners of war with compassion, differentiating between combatants and non-combatants, and using force proportionately. Even in the midst of chaos and bloodshed, international humanitarian law (IHL), which has its roots in agreements like the Geneva Conventions and their Additional Protocols, seeks to protect fundamental human rights and impose limitations on the conduct of hostilities. However, new challenges brought about by technology-driven conflict raise doubts about the efficacy and applicability of the legal structures that are in place. Drones, for instance, have revolutionized targeted attacks and surveillance, providing military forces the previously unheard-of ability to conduct accurate and far-off operations. Drone proponents assert that they can lower the risk to military personnel and civilian casualties by concentrating on specific threats. On the other hand, detractors call attention to possible abuses of drones, including unapproved monitoring, extrajudicial executions, and the blurring of the boundaries that customarily divide combatants and civilians. Similarly, cyberwarfare creates unique challenges for IHL by obfuscating the distinctions between traditional notions of warfare and espionage. Cyberattacks have the power to impair military systems, destroy critical infrastructure, and jeopardize civilian services that are essential for day-to-day existence. This raises questions about whether existing legal principles apply to cyberattacks or not. International law finds it more challenging to put blame and hold offenders accountable due to the speed and anonymity of cyber operations. The emergence of autonomous weapons systems (AWS), which are characterized by their ability to independently choose and engage targets using pre-programmed algorithms, is another problem. Despite AWS's claims of increased operational effectiveness and less risk to human soldiers, concerns have been raised about the possibility that they could act in an unpredictable manner, causing accidental harm or breaking principles of international humanitarian law like proportionality and distinction. The ethical repercussions of endowing computers with the ability to make life-and-death decisions underscore the need for clear legal frameworks to regulate their development and use in warfare. Furthermore, the increasing commercialization of military operations and the dissemination of state-of-the-art military equipment among non-state actors complicate efforts to regulate and enforce the humanitarian norms. By matching regular military forces against terrorist or rebel groups, modern conflicts are asymmetrical, undermining traditional notions of combatant status and lawful military targets. The distinction between combatants and civilians is muddied by this. Given these developments, the effectiveness of international humanitarian law depends on its ability to adapt and respond to the changing conditions of modern warfare. As these capabilities continue to advance quickly, policymakers, legal experts, military strategists, and humanitarian practitioners must strike a balance between the need to harness technological innovation for humanitarian benefit and the need to minimize its potential risks and abuses in conflict environments.

This article's goal is to critically examine the complex interactions that contemporary armed conflicts have between humanitarian law and technology. In addition, it seeks to further the discussion on preserving fundamental humanitarian principles in the face of technological warfare by highlighting pertinent problems and offering practical solutions. By analysing the moral, legal, and practical implications of drones, cyberwarfare, and autonomous weapon systems, this research seeks to provide a deeper understanding of how international legal frameworks can be modified to guarantee the safety of citizens and preserve human dignity in a technologically advanced world.

II. AREA OF THE STUDY

With an emphasis on technological advancements like drones, cyberwarfare, and autonomous weapon systems, this article examines the nuanced relationship between technology and international humanitarian law (IHL) in contemporary armed conflicts. It seeks to fully examine their impact on lawfulness, morality, and civilian in conformity with global humanitarian norms. By examining the operational dynamics and ethical issues raised by these technologies, the study seeks to give light on the challenges IHL faces in regulating their use and ensuring respect to the principles of distinction, proportionality, and humanity. It also examines the various ways in which state and non-state actors employ these instruments, highlighting the challenges associated with determining who is to blame and how accountable one should be in modern conflict scenarios. By carefully analysing the ways that technological advancements affect humanitarian law, this research increases our understanding of how legal frameworks could adapt to mitigate risks and protect fundamental rights in the face of the rapid growth of combat tactics and capabilities. Furthermore, the study examines how international treaties and conventions govern the use of new technologies and assesses how successfully they address emerging IHL challenges in the digital age. It also examines case studies of earlier conflicts in which these technologies have been employed in order to offer insights into the practical

implications of these technologies on humanitarian outcomes and adherence to legal standards. A wide range of stakeholders, including military strategists, legal experts, policymakers, and humanitarian organizations, have their viewpoints considered in this study to provide a thorough grasp of the complicated issues at hand. Along with analysing how cyber operations affect civilian infrastructure and vital services, it evaluates how effective the current legal frameworks are and makes recommendations for strengthening defences against cyberwarfare. Lastly, it examines potential regulatory and oversight frameworks for these technologies' advancement and implementation in an effort to increase openness and responsibility in armed conflicts. Considering how disparities in technology capability may affect the direction and outcome of conflicts, the study also looks at the consequences of technological asymmetries between the parties participating in the conflict. It also examines the challenges associated with attribution and accountability in cyberattacks and drone strikes, looking into solutions to establish responsibility and grant victims of unlawful activity justice. In addition, the study stresses how NGOs and other international organizations keep an eye out for and report on emerging technology-related IHL violations, assessing the potential of these tools to influence state conduct and promote compliance. Finally, it offers recommendations on how IHL frameworks should be adjusted to account for technology advancements, emphasizing the value of proactive governance and cross-national cooperation in creating future norms for military conduct.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1.Analyse the Contemporary Development: To investigate the connection between ongoing technological development and worldwide war and conflict.
2.Analysis of Technological Impact: To investigate how drones, cyberwarfare, and autonomous weapons systems impact humanitarian law, with an emphasis on how these concerns impact public safety and legal compliance.

3.Identification of Challenges: To ascertain the specific challenges that technology warfare poses to the principles of humanity as a whole.

4.Proposal of Strategic Solutions: To establish strategies and plans for amending humanitarian law in order to lessen the risks associated with military technology.

5.Contribution to Discourse: To contribute to the academic discourse and policy discussions about the evolving nature of armed conflict and the role of humanitarian law in protecting human rights against technological warfare.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In order to address specific legal issues, this study has been conducted using the doctrinal research approach, which focuses on examining and evaluating previously published articles, journals, research papers accessible on numerous online databases, case laws, and secondary sources. This approach involved carefully reviewing relevant statutes, court decisions, and legal documents to gain insight into the development, application, and interpretation of legal principles pertaining to my research topic. By employing this strategy, I intend to contribute to the academic discourse and practical implications in the field by providing a comprehensive understanding and analysis of the legal context surrounding the research topic.

V. SOLUTIONS

Addressing the threats posed by technological warfare to humanitarian law requires a comprehensive and proactive approach:

1.**Enhancing global legal frameworks:** Enhanced legal framework is needed to guarantee strict oversight, accountability, and compliance with humanitarian standards in the development and utilization of new military technologies.

2. Setting up explicit ethical principles: It is required for the use of emerging technologies in warfare, emphasizing the protection of human rights, safeguarding civilians, and minimizing adverse effects.

3.Offering specialized training and educational programs: Such training and programs are necessary for military personnel, policymakers, and legal professionals on the moral, legal, and operational impacts of technological warfare.

4.Encouraging enhanced international cooperation: Better international cooperation is required among nations, international organizations, and civil society to standardize procedures, share best practices, and address shared concerns related to technological warfare.

5. Transparency and Accountability: Enforcing measures to guarantee transparency and accountability in the development, implementation, and use of state-of-the-art military advancements. This involves establishing clear lines of authority and oversight to ensure adherence to humanitarian standards.

6. Comprehensive Assessments: Conducting comprehensive assessments of the effects and risks before deploying new technologies in conflict zones. This process should evaluate potential humanitarian impacts, including risks to civilian populations, and guide decision-making to minimize adverse effects.

7. Promotion of Partnerships: Promoting dialogue and partnerships among technology innovators, military strategists, humanitarian organizations, and legal experts to proactively address ethical and legal challenges associated with emerging technologies. This could lead to the establishment of guidelines and frameworks that incorporate humanitarian considerations into technological advancements.

8. Adaptive Legal Frameworks: The continuous updating of international legal frameworks, such as the Geneva Conventions and its Additional Protocols, to take into account new forms of warfare made feasible by technology. This could mean updating language, clarifying duties, closing legal loopholes, and ensuring that laws are pertinent and applicable in modern conflict circumstances.

9. Public Awareness and Advocacy: Raising public awareness of the humanitarian implications of technological warfare through media outreach, education, and advocacy initiatives. Including civil society and stakeholders in discussions on the moral and ethical implications of new military technology can promote more accountability and put pressure on the military to use it responsibly.

10. Multilateral diplomacy and treaty negotiations: Engaging in multilateral negotiations and diplomacy to establish new treaties or protocols, with a focus on the regulation of recently acquired weaponry. This may entail restricting or outlawing some indiscriminate or unstable technologies that seriously endanger civilian populations.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the advancement of technology in conflict poses a severe danger to the effectiveness and fundamental principles of international humanitarian law. Notwithstanding the strategic advantages of these technologies, due to their potential to harm people and compromise legal norms, preventative measures to safeguard humanitarian principles are required. By strengthening legal frameworks, promoting ethical standards, enhancing capacity building, and encouraging international cooperation, it is possible to mitigate the negative effects of technological warfare and uphold humanitarian norms that are essential for promoting peace, security, and human dignity during times of conflict. Research and policy innovation are crucial if humanitarian law is to continue to be effective in protecting vulnerable communities in the face of modern combat. States must also be aware of their legal duty to look into the possibility of using newly developed weapons and strategies in cyberwarfare, as well as to act swiftly to establish a worldwide cybersecurity ecosystem.

REFERENCES

- ANDRIC, ALEKSANDER. "THE IMPACT OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES ON ARMED FORCES OVER THE NEXT 10 YEARS."
- Guha, Manabrata. “War and Technology: Seeking Transformational Outcomes, 2017
- Mohammed, Aram, Idrees Rasul, and Awara Ahmed. "The Effect of New Technology on the Concept of “Direct Participation in Hostilities” in International Humanitarian Law." *Academic Journal of Nawroz University* (2023).
- Rahman, Mostafizur, “Impact of Technology on Future Wars: Options for Bangladesh”, 2022, ISSN 2582-7421.
- Kawai, Kimiaki, “Emerging Technologies Challenge International Humanitarian Law: Mapping the Issues”, 2020.
- Melzer, Nils. "Cyberwarfare and international law." (2011).
- Shevchuk, Viktor. "The Role of Digital Technologies in the Investigation of War Crimes in Ukraine: Criminalistic Problems." *Grail of Science* 25 (2023): 97-110.
- Dawson Jr, Maurice Eugene. "Cyber warfare: threats and opportunities." (2021).
- Pretorius, Joelien. "The technological culture of war." *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society* 28.4 (2008): 299-305.
- Ayala, Anahi, “The Dichotomy of Technology in Conflict: Beauty and the Beast”, 2020.