



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

SOVEREIGNTY AND SORROW: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF MARITAL BEREAVEMENT AND PHILOSOPHICAL CRISIS IN SHAKESPEARE'S *JULIUS CAESAR* AND *MACBETH*

Dr Suchi Shukla

English Literature and Language Trainer

Department of English

The Sanskaar Valley School, Bhopal, India.

Abstract: This research paper investigates the psychological and philosophical trajectories of Marcus Brutus and Macbeth following the suicides of their wives, Portia and Lady Macbeth. Situated within the context of military conflict and the shared guilt of kin-slaying—specifically the assassination of Julius Caesar and King Duncan—this study examines the divergence between Roman Stoic indifference and existential nihilism. By synthesising textual analysis of *Julius Caesar* (Act 4, Scene 3) and *Macbeth* (Act 5, Scene 5), the paper explores how domestic collapse mirrors political ruin. It further contrasts the implications of legacy, comparing Brutus's paternal status with Macbeth's 'barren sceptre,' arguing that marital loss serves as the final catalyst for the protagonists' terminal isolation.

Keywords: bereavement; nihilism; legacy; suicide

Introduction: The Intersection of Politics and the Domestic Hearth

In the grand architecture of Shakespearean tragedy, the domestic sphere is never truly separate from the political. For Marcus Brutus and the titular protagonist of *Macbeth*, the marital bond represents the final vestige of a private self that is increasingly consumed by the public consequences of their actions. Both men are presented as mature, established figures of authority—'power couples' whose wives are not merely observers of their husbands' ambitions but active participants in their moral and political lives. However, the trajectory of these unions is irrevocably altered by the act of killing a kin-figure: Brutus's assassination of his mentor and 'father' Julius Caesar, and Macbeth's sacrilegious murder of his kinsman and king, Duncan.

This paper seeks to compare the husbands' responses to the news of their wives' suicides. This comparison is particularly poignant as both men receive the news while in the midst of military campaigns, besieged by enemies and haunted by the ghosts of their victims. The rationale for this study lies in the profound philosophical divergence revealed in their grief. While Brutus employs the rigours of Stoic philosophy to maintain a facade of 'indifference' and public fortitude, Macbeth's reaction signals a total collapse of meaning, leading to one of the most significant expressions of nihilism in Western literature. By examining the similarities in their circumstances and the stark differences in their legacies—specifically the presence of an heir in Brutus's case versus Macbeth's childlessness—this study elucidates the complex interplay between gender, power, and bereavement in the early modern tragic imagination.

Review of Literature

The scholarly discourse surrounding the emotional interiority of Brutus and Macbeth is rooted in the tension between their public roles and private selves. Harold Bloom, in *Shakespeare: The Invention of the Human* (1998), argues that Macbeth's reaction to his wife's death is the ultimate marker of his psychological hollowing. Bloom suggests that by Act 5, Macbeth has 'outrun' his own humanity, rendering him incapable of traditional mourning. The 'Tomorrow and tomorrow' soliloquy is viewed not as a lack of love, but as the recognition that the 'dearest partner of greatness' has been consumed by the same void that now claims him.

G. Wilson Knight, in *The Imperial Theme* (1931), offers a contrasting view of Brutus, focusing on the 'life-themes' within the Roman plays. Knight posits that Brutus's struggle is the quintessential conflict of the 'Noble Roman' who must sacrifice personal emotion at the altar of Republican duty. He highlights the 'double report' of Portia's death in Act 4 as a crucial textual crux, representing the struggle between the private man's agony and the public leader's Stoic performance.

Warren D. Smith's 'The Duplicate Revelation of Portia's Death' (1953) further interrogates this Stoic performance, suggesting that Brutus's 'indifference' to Messala is a strategic display of leadership designed to inspire his troops. Conversely, feminist readings, such as those by Susan Zimmerman (2005), focus on the 'body corrupt' in these tragedies. Zimmerman notes that Portia's death—a highly intentional act of Roman will—contrasts with Lady Macbeth's psychological fragmentation, suggesting that the husbands' reactions are fundamentally shaped by the *manner* in which their wives occupied and eventually abandoned their moral spheres.

I. The Architecture of the Power Couple: Similarities in Bond and Burden

1. The Power Couple and the Intimacy of Ambition

Brutus and Macbeth are defined by marriages of profound intellectual and political parity. Portia is not a submissive Roman wife; she is the daughter of Cato and demands to be treated as an equal, famously wounding her thigh to prove she can bear Brutus's secrets. Similarly, Lady Macbeth is the catalyst for Macbeth's initial transgression, famously calling upon spirits to 'unsex' her so she may provide the ruthlessness her husband lacks. In both plays, the love between the couples is palpable. Brutus calls Portia his 'true and honourable wife,' as 'dear to [him] as are the ruddy drops / That visit [his] sad heart.' Macbeth refers to his wife as his 'dearest partner of greatness.' Their bond is the foundation upon which their political actions are built; therefore, the destruction of the wife is the destruction of the husband's primary support system.

2. The Context of War and the Guilt of Kin-Slaying

The news of spousal suicide arrives at the zenith of military crisis for both men. Brutus is at Sardis, preparing for the final confrontation with Antony and Octavius; Macbeth is holed up in Dunsinane as Birnam Wood begins to move. This isolation is compounded by the psychological weight of their crimes. Both have killed a man who stood in a paternal or kin-like relationship to them. Brutus's assassination of

Caesar is a violation of a personal love for a political ideal, while Macbeth's murder of Duncan is a violation of both natural and divine law. The guilt of these acts poisons their domestic lives long before the news of death arrives. The 'sleep no more' motif that haunts Macbeth and the 'monstrous apparition' of Caesar that visits Brutus are symptoms of a domestic world already in decay.

3. *The Choice of Suicide: Swallowing Fire vs. The Inward Spot*

Both Portia and Lady Macbeth choose suicide as an escape from the unbearable reality of their husbands' trajectories. Portia, 'distract' with grief and fear of the Triumvirate's power, 'swallowed fire'—a death of extreme physical and psychological resolve. Lady Macbeth, conversely, succumbs to the 'slumbry agitation' of a mind shattered by guilt. Her suicide is the final act of a woman who could no longer wash the 'damned spot' from her conscience. For both husbands, the suicide of the wife is the ultimate confirmation that their political project has failed to protect the ones they love most.

II. The Philosophy of Response: Stoic Indifference vs. Nihilistic Void

1. *Marcus Brutus: The Mask of Stoic Indifference (Act 4, Scene 3)*

In Act 4, Scene 3 of *Julius Caesar*, Brutus exhibits a response that has often been mischaracterised as coldness. When informed of Portia's death by Messala, he responds with a jarring brevity: 'Why, farewell, Portia. We must die, Messala.' This is the embodiment of Roman *constantia*. As a student of Stoic philosophy, Brutus believes that the wise man must remain unmoved by external accidents, even the death of a beloved spouse.

However, the doctoral analysis of this scene must account for the earlier private admission to Cassius: 'Portia is dead... No man bears sorrow better. Portia is dead.' This reveals that Brutus's 'indifference' to Messala is a performative act—a display of 'Apatheia' designed to maintain morale in the camp. Brutus uses his grief as a crucible to prove his fitness for Republican leadership. His philosophy provides him with a framework to compartmentalise his agony, allowing him to function as a general while his heart is 'sick of many griefs.' His response is a desperate attempt to maintain order in a world he has rendered chaotic.

2. *Macbeth: The Descent into Nihilism (Act 5, Scene 5)*

In contrast, Macbeth's response to the 'cry of women' in Act 5, Scene 5, marks the total dissolution of philosophy. Upon being told the Queen is dead, his first words are: 'She should have died hereafter; / There would have been a time for such a word.' Unlike Brutus's performative endurance, Macbeth's response is one of genuine emotional exhaustion. He has 'supped full with horrors,' and the death of his wife—the woman for whom he committed his first and greatest crime—no longer possesses the power to shock him.

This leads into the 'Tomorrow and tomorrow' soliloquy, which serves as a counterpoint to Brutus's Stoicism. Brutus looks to the future of the Republic; Macbeth sees only the 'petty pace' of a meaningless existence. While Brutus's indifference is a *shield* to protect his soul, Macbeth's indifference is the *void* that has replaced his soul. His wife's death is the final candle to be extinguished, leaving him to realise that life is merely a 'poor player / That struts and frets his hour upon the stage / And then is heard no more.' For Macbeth, the death of the partner is the death of meaning itself.

III. Divergent Legacies: The Heir and the Character of the Wife

1. The Presence of a Son: Brutus's Continuity vs. Macbeth's Barrenness

A critical difference that shapes their reactions is the presence of an heir. In this analysis, we observe the significance of Brutus having a son—a detail that emphasizes the stakes of the Republican cause. For Brutus, the loss of Portia is a personal tragedy, but the existence of a son (often reflected in the play through his paternal care for the boy Lucius) suggests a future that extends beyond his own death. He is a father fighting for a world his child might inherit.

Macbeth, however, is tormented by his childlessness—the 'barren sceptre' and 'unlineal hand.' The lack of a son makes Lady Macbeth's death an ontological dead-end. He has murdered Duncan and destroyed his peace for a throne that will pass to Banquo's issue. Without a child, the death of his wife signifies the absolute termination of his legacy. This lack of generational continuity fuels his nihilism; if there is no future, then the 'tomorrow' he speaks of is truly a march toward 'dusty death.'

2. The Moral Divergence of Portia and Lady Macbeth

The characters of the wives also dictate the nature of the husbands' grief. Portia is a paragon of Roman feminine virtue, whose struggle is to remain worthy of her noble husband. Her death is a 'noble' sacrifice. Brutus mourns a partner in virtue. Lady Macbeth, however, is a partner in crime. Her moral arc is one of regression—from the terrifying strength of the first act to the pathetic sleepwalker of the fifth. Macbeth mourns not a virtuous companion, but his own accomplice. The loss of Lady Macbeth is the loss of the only person who understood the full extent of his darkness.

Conclusion

The comparison between Marcus Brutus and Macbeth reveals that for the Shakespearean tragic hero, the death of the wife is the final stage of political and psychological disintegration. Brutus, the Stoic, attempts to master his grief through a philosophy of indifference, using the loss of Portia as a final testament to his Roman character. Macbeth, the nihilist, finds that the death of his wife renders all his efforts—his crimes, his battles, and his crown—utterly futile.

Ultimately, while both men are middle-aged warriors burdened by the guilt of killing their kin, their legacies define their end. Brutus dies attempting to preserve the honour of his name and the future of his son's Republic. Macbeth dies fighting a battle he knows is lost, in a world he knows is empty. The suicides of Portia and Lady Macbeth do not merely end their own suffering; they strip their husbands of their last connection to humanity, leaving them to face their fates as isolated icons of a shattered sovereignty.

References

1. Bloom, Harold. *Shakespeare: The Invention of the Human*. Riverhead Books, 1998.
2. Knight, G. Wilson. *The Imperial Theme: Further Interpretations of Shakespeare's Tragedies Including the Roman Plays*. Oxford University Press, 1931.
3. Shakespeare, William. *Julius Caesar*. Edited by Roma Gill, Oxford University Press, 2004.
4. Shakespeare, William. *Macbeth*. Edited by Nicholas Brooke, Oxford University Press, 2008.
5. Smith, Warren D. "The Duplicate Revelation of Portia's Death." *Shakespeare Quarterly*, vol. 4, no. 2, 1953, pp. 153-161.
6. Zimmerman, Susan. "The Body Adorned and the Body Corrupt: Macbeth and the Roman Plays." *The Early Modern Corpse and Shakespeare's Theatre*, Edinburgh University Press, 2005.