



A Study On Employees' Perception Of Performance Appraisals

¹Mr. Vishal Tomar, ²Dr. Sailaja Bohara

¹Student, ²Professor

¹Amity Business School

¹Amity University Uttar Pradesh, Greater Noida Campus

Abstract: Performance appraisals are essential for evaluating employee contributions, coordinating business objectives, and promoting professional development. Employee perceptions of assessment systems are examined in this study, with a focus on their efficacy, equality, and transparency as well as their effects on career advancement, work satisfaction, and motivation. Using survey data and secondary research, the study investigates the connection between organizational commitment, productivity, and performance reviews. Results indicate that workers like open assessments that acknowledge accomplishments and offer helpful criticism. But issues like subjectivity and uneven grading draw attention to how difficult it is to maintain justice. The paper highlights the necessity of objective requirements for performance, standardized tools, and continuous feedback mechanisms. Engagement, motivation, and trust are all increased by a well-organized assessment process, which eventually boosts employee morale and company performance.

Keywords- Performance Appraisals, Employee Perceptions, Career Advancement, Continuous Feedback, Organizational Commitment

I. INTRODUCTION

In the incredibly competitive business environment of nowadays, companies need to constantly innovate to stay ahead of the competition. The efficient management of human resources, the most precious asset in every company, as is at the core of this innovation. The performance evaluation system, a crucial component of human resource management, is essential for assessing employee contributions, deciding on hiring, and promoting professional development. In addition to increasing productivity on both an individual and organizational level, a well-organized performance evaluation process also improves the alignment of employee goals with company objectives. Employee performance evaluation is only one aspect of the complex process that is performance appraisal. It functions as a tool for skill development, training facilitating, and constructive feedback while emphasizing company values. The purpose of performance appraisal (PA), a key component of human resource management (HRM), is to evaluate, measure, and enhance employee performance by coordinating individual accomplishments with corporate objectives (DeNisi & Pritchard). Performance evaluations have always been centred on assessing job performance and offering productivity comments, although their scope has expanded over time. According to Aguinis, performance reviews are now viewed as instruments for evaluating employee work as well as ways of encouraging employee happiness,

engagement, and alignment with company values. Performance management's use of CSR methods demonstrates the trend toward socially responsible human resource management (SR-HRM), which reflects the increasing emphasis on workers' well-being as stakeholders in the company (Barrena-Martínez et al., 2017).

Over time, the nature of performance reviews has changed dramatically. At first, performance reviews served primarily as a means of measuring and managing employee productivity, sometimes with the use of monetary compensation. But according to recent studies, performance reviews are crucial for encouraging employee's growth and coordinating personal aspirations with company objectives (Brutus et al., 2010). Employee motivation, developmental feedback, and long-term involvement with corporate objectives are all goals of modern performance assessment systems in addition to evaluating previous performance. The design of performance reviews has broadened to incorporate elements like work-life balance, sustainability, and corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities as a result of companies increased exposure to globalization and competition. Employees are more inclined to accept the evaluation process as a chance for personal improvement when they believe it to be equitable, accessible, and informative. On the other hand, resistance, discontent, and a drop in organizational participation might result from belief that something is biased, subjective, or inconsistent. The fairness of the procedure is one of the main elements affecting how employees see their evaluations of performance. The concepts of procedural, distributive, interpersonal, and informational equality are frequently used to understand this fairness. Equal opportunity concentrates on the fairness of the results, whereas procedural equality deals with the perceived fairness of the techniques employed in the evaluation process. While informational equity pertains to the sufficiency of the explanations given on the procedure and decisions, interpersonal equality stresses the need of treating the appraiser with respect and consideration.

The design of performance evaluation methods has been significantly impacted by the growth of corporate social responsibility, or CSR. Businesses are incorporating CSR practices—which emphasize environmental sustainability, community involvement, and employee welfare—into their HRM strategy. Employee perceptions of the fairness of performance review procedures and their workplace are subsequently influenced by these practices. According to Glavas and Piderit, employees are more likely to view performance reviews as fair, transparent, and conducive to their professional and personal development when they are in line with CSR ideals. Additionally, CSR programs have a beneficial impact on workers' work engagement (WE) since they believe their companies are dedicated to their own growth as well as the welfare of the society at large (Ferreira & Real de Oliveira, 2014). Employees are more likely to view the system of evaluation as fair and encouraging of their career goals when these factors are properly handled. Even while performance appraisals are essential, many businesses find it difficult to put in place procedures that are unbiased and free of mistakes. Issues including subjective assessments, insufficient evaluation criteria, and uneven implementation of standards frequently damage the appraisal process's credibility. When appraisers don't give insightful comments or don't have the required training, these problems are made even worse.

Understanding how employees see evaluation systems is essential to determining how well they work to accomplish corporate objectives. Performance reviews may greatly improve employees' engagement, satisfaction with work, and overall performance when they are seen as fair, transparent, and consistent with CSR ideals. Employee motivation and commitment, on the other hand, may decline if they believe that performance reviews are biased or unrelated to company values. This might result in decreased engagement and a greater turnover rate. Therefore, for firms looking to increase the efficacy of their performance management techniques, it is essential to comprehend how workers view these systems and influence their perceptions. Regular feedback, goal setting, and career advancement conversations are all included into an efficient performance assessment system. These elements assist employees in defining their responsibilities, establishing clear expectations, and seeing areas for development. Employee motivation to work at higher standards is increased when performance-contingent rewards are connected to evaluations. Organizations may design evaluation systems that are viewed as equitable, impartial, and in line with both individual and corporate objectives by resolving employee concerns, maintaining openness, and placing a strong emphasis on developmental feedback. One of the most essential tools for improving employee efficiency and attaining

organizational success is performance reviews. But how well they are received by staff members determines how effective they are. By giving fairness, openness, and constructive criticism a priority, companies can cultivate favourable opinions about the appraisal process, which will increase worker motivation, satisfaction, and output. In addition to providing insights on how to design efficient assessment systems that support organizational and individual goals, this study investigates how employees view performance reviews.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Ishaque, M. (2020)., This study highlights the need for assessment procedures to be customized to the organizational environment by examining contingency theory in relation to performance reviews. According to the study, how effectively appraisal tools meet business needs determines how successful performance reviews are. According to the study, performance reviews might occasionally have symbolic purposes that are motivated more by outside expectations than by the growth of the workforce.

Modell, S. (2022)., This study examines institutional theory and makes the case that companies use performance evaluation techniques in order to abide with industry standards and external laws. According to the research, performance evaluations may serve more as a legitimacy tool than as a means of achieving real performance improvement when they become entrenched over time. According to the study's findings, performance reviews' capacity to promote employee development may be constrained by an over-reliance on external compliance.

Ishaque, M. (2020)., This study analyses how individual cognitive biases affect perceptions of fairness and correctness in assessments by using Social Cognitive Theory to performance evaluations. This research examines the impact of individual cognitive biases on perceptions of correctness and fairness in evaluation through applying Social Cognitive Theory to performance appraisal. The research suggests that employees' capacity for information handling cognitively shapes their perception of the results of an assessment, which may lead to variations in motivation and participation. Based on the research findings, to enhance fairness and effectiveness, companies ought to consider the cognitive limitations of employees when constructing evaluation systems.

Burfitt, A., et al. (2020)., This study looks into how workers' motivation and engagement are affected by their opinions of how fair and transparent performance reviews are. The results show that employee trust in the assessment process is significantly shaped by distributive and procedural fairness. According to the study, employees' confidence and dedication to company objectives are increased when performance standards are communicated clearly.

Aleksandrov, D., et al. (2022)., This study examines the function of communication and feedback in performance reviews, highlighting the fact that accurate and constructive criticism boosts worker motivation. According to the study, workers are more inclined to enhance their performance and match their efforts with company goals if they receive unambiguous feedback. According to the results, candid communication during assessments increases employee involvement and confidence.

Cohen, S., & Karatzimas, S. (2021)., According to this study, which looks at how performance reviews affect career advancement, workers who believe that reviews are essential for advancement and career advancement are more engaged. The study emphasizes that workers are more inclined to put effort into their job when they see a clear connection between assessments and career advancement. The study concludes that a weak connection between career advancement and assessments might lower employee motivation.

Uddin, M., et al. (2021)., The dual function of performance reviews in companies as symbolic and instrumental procedures is examined in this research. The results show that when assessments have a direct impact on choices about employee growth, promotions, and pay, they perform an important role. However, assessments lose some of their efficacy when they are employed only to comply with legal obligations.

According to the study, when workers believe that assessments are meaningful rather than merely a formality, they become more engaged.

Costantini, A., Panfilo, S., and Mio, C. (2021)., The literature on the use of the sustainability balanced scorecard as a performance evaluation tool for sustainable company is thoroughly reviewed in this research. According to the research, including sustainability measures within conventional frameworks for performance evaluation improves corporate responsibility and decision-making. According to the research, companies that use sustainability balanced scorecards may enhance their long-term financial performance while attending to their social and environmental obligations, making them an essential component of corporate sustainability plans.

Saukkonen, N., Laine, T., Korhonen, T., & Jääskeläinen, A. (2023)., This study investigates how efficient performance assessment might help project-based operations succeed. According to the research, firms may better allocate resources, make better decisions, and match project objectives with strategic goals by implementing well-structured performance assessment systems. According to the results, including key performance indicators (KPIs) into project management frameworks improves progress monitoring, risk reduction, and project efficiency overall, all of which lead to better project outcomes and organizational performance.

Salloum, S. A., Al Kurdi, B., Alsuwaidi, M., and Alshurideh, M. (2021)., This study offers a thorough examination of the relationship between employee motivation and performance reviews. Employee engagement, job happiness, and productivity are all positively impacted by organized and transparent assessment processes, according to the research. Research indicates that while poor or biased assessments can result in disengagement and discontent, employees who believe their performance reviews are fair and helpful are more likely to be motivated. In order to improve motivation and organizational commitment, the study highlights the significance of consistent feedback, transparent communication, and matching career progression prospects with performance reviews.

Martinez-De-Morentin, S., Galdon-Sanchez, J. E., and Bayo-Moriones, A. (2020)., This study looks at how company strategies, organizational results, and performance assessment methods relate to one another. According to the data, companies that link their performance review procedures with strategic goals see increases in employee engagement, performance, and business outcomes. The study emphasizes goal setting, ongoing feedback, and staff development, underscoring the need of combining performance reviews with long-term objectives. The report also emphasizes how equitable and open assessment procedures boost employee enthusiasm, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment—all of which raise overall productivity and competitiveness.

Bititci, U. S., Garengo, P., and Nudurupati, S. S. (2021)., This study investigates how management practices and performance evaluation are affected by changing business contexts. In order to enhance competitiveness, strategic alignment, and decision-making in ever-changing corporate environments, it highlights the necessity of flexible, technology-driven assessment systems.

Frare, A. B., Colombo, V. L. B., & Beuren, I. M. (2022)., This study looks at how performance measuring techniques, environmental satisfaction, and green work engagement relate to one another. It emphasizes how employee participation in environmental projects and sustainable practices improve organizational performance, highlighting the need of useful assessment methods in encouraging environmentally friendly workplace activities.

Vejayaratnam, N., Anathuri, A., Seksyen, S., Bangi, B. B., Bao, H. J., Cheng, H. K., & Bakar, A. A. (2021)., Important HR tasks including recruiting, performance reviews, training and development, and pay are examined in this research. Effective HR practices are emphasized in relation to employee productivity and talent management, as well as how these responsibilities interact to influence both employee performance and corporate success.

Chipperfield, J. G., Pekrun, R., Dryden, R. P., Hamm, J. M., Parker, P. C., Perry, R. P., & Tze, V. M. (2021)., This study looks at attainment, performance, and feelings in online learning from a motivational standpoint. Emphasizing the importance of motivation in influencing learning outcomes and performance perceptions in digital learning settings, it draws attention to how students' emotional reactions to assessments affect their engagement and academic achievement.

Abeykoon, C., Ranaweera, R. A. A. S., Dasanayaka, C. H., & Koswatte, I. (2021)., This study investigates how academic staff members' work satisfaction at postsecondary educational institutions is affected by performance review processes. It concludes that whereas ambiguous or biased assessments result in discontent and lower motivation, transparent and well-structured performance reviews have a beneficial impact on work satisfaction. The study emphasizes how crucial fairness, criticism, and acknowledgment are in evaluations of academic success.

III. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

This study examines to see how fairness, transparency, and feedback are perceived by employees in appraisals and how it affects engagement and motivation. Along with identifying problems and suggesting responses, it also examines the function of appraisals in career advancement and alignment with organizational goals.

- To analyse how employee engagement and motivation are affected by fairness, transparency, and feedback.
- To evaluate how performance reviews affect goal alignment and career advancement.
- To identify issues with appraisal systems and make recommendations for improvements.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

4.1 Research Approach

This study investigates how employees see performance review systems using a qualitative research methodology. The study focuses on how employee engagement, motivation, and satisfaction are influenced by elements including fairness, transparency, feedback, and professional effect.

4.2 Research Design

A qualitative study that looks at how employees feel about performance evaluations using theme analysis. The study is backed by secondary data from industry publications and academic papers as well as primary data gathered using a structured questionnaire.

Qualitative Research: A qualitative approach to discovering human experience, behaviour, and perception based on non-numerical information.

Thematic Analysis: A method of identifying, analysing, and interpreting patterns or themes in qualitative data.

Exploratory Study: The study seeks to achieve a better understanding of employee attitudes and not causal relationships.

4.3 Data Collection

Primary Data: Gathered by distributing a standardized questionnaire to employees of different corporations using convenience sampling.

Secondary Data: Collected from research papers, academic literature, and business reports about performance evaluation systems.

4.4 Screening Techniques

Manual Screening: To guarantee relevance and dependability, data was manually examined, classified, and examined.

4.5 Limitations

The study's dependence on self-reported data, which might introduce biases in how employees see performance reviews, is one of its limitations. Convenience sampling limits the findings' potential to be applied to a larger workforce. Furthermore, qualitative approaches might not have the statistical rigor of quantitative research, even though they offer deeper insights. Additionally, organizational variations in assessment methods that may affect employee experiences and perceptions are not taken into consideration in this study.

4.6 Variables Explored

The study looks at important elements that influence how employees feel about performance reviews, such as fairness and transparency, the efficacy of feedback, chances for professional growth, incentives and recognition, and job happiness and engagement. It looks at how constructive criticism affects motivation, whether assessments are seen as objective and well-communicated, how appraisals function in training and promotions, how recognition affects employee morale, and general attitudes toward evaluation systems.

4.7 Techniques of Analysis Used

Meaningful insights may be extracted from qualitative responses by using thematic analysis to find recurrent themes. To classify employee comments and evaluate typical impressions and concerns about performance reviews, content analysis is used.

V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Objective: To examine survey data in order to assess the effectiveness, integrity, and perception of performance evaluation methods.

5.1 Employee Perception of Performance Appraisals

Table 5.1: Employee Perception

<u>Employee Perception</u>	<u>Percentage (%)</u>
Very Positive	40%
Positive	50%
Neutral	10%
Negative	0%

Table 5.1 revealed that a majority of employees have positive attitudes toward performance appraisals, where 40% had perceived their experience as very positive and 50% positive. The percentage that was neutral was only 10%, with nonnegative. This implies that formal performance assessment systems that entail clear performance targets, constructive feedback, and rewards for achievements serve to increase employees' morale and job satisfaction. Worker motivation and interest are also likely to last longer if workers think that the assessment process is honest and transparent. Additionally, not receiving any negative feedback tells us that perhaps organisations covered by the survey had effective mechanisms available for appraisals. the presence of a neutral segment (10%) indicates that there are some employees who may not find the process to be very useful, possibly due to inaccurate evaluation standards or the absence of effective feedback. To enhance the effectiveness of performance appraisals, organizations can focus on making the process interactive, goal-oriented, and in line with professional employee development.

5.2 Importance of Performance Appraisals

Table 5.2: Importance of Performance Appraisals

<u>Importance Level</u>	<u>Percentage (%)</u>
Very Important	70%
Important	20%
Neutral	10%

According to Table 5.2, 20% of employees believe that performance reviews are significant, and 70% of employees think they are extremely important. Ten percent are neutral. This implies that workers understand the value of evaluations in evaluating their work, establishing objectives for the future, and fostering professional development. Employees may assess their success and pinpoint opportunities for improvement using the organized framework that performance assessments offer. Additionally, they are an essential tool for companies to match individual performance to overarching corporate goals, making workers feel appreciated and inspired. An effective assessment procedure promotes a culture of ongoing improvement by giving staff members the opportunity to learn more about expectations, get helpful criticism, and pursue career progression within the company.

5.3 Participation and Frequency of Appraisals

Table 5.3: Participation and Frequency

<u>Frequency of Appraisal</u>	<u>Percentage (%)</u>
Monthly	40%
Quarterly	30%
Yearly	30%
Participation Rate	90%

According to Table 5.3, 90% of workers take part in performance reviews. Of these, 30% are evaluated every three months, 40% are evaluated every month, and 30% are evaluated annually. The move to more regular feedback systems, such as monthly evaluations, points to a tendency toward ongoing performance reviews. Employees may obtain timely feedback, make the required adjustments, and maintain alignment with corporate standards when assessments are conducted more often. Managers and staff can have more in-depth conversations regarding performance, difficulties, and career goals, especially when monthly evaluations are conducted. However, in order to keep employees motivated and productive in between assessment cycles, companies that perform quarterly and annual reviews might need to make sure that workers receive enough assistance and feedback.

5.4 Transparency and Clarity of the Appraisal Process

Table 5.4: Transparency and Clarity

<u>Transparency Level</u>	<u>Percentage (%)</u>
Highly Transparent	70%
Somewhat Transparent	30%
Not transparent	0%

As shown in Table 5.4, 70% of respondents said their procedure is very open, and 30% said it is somewhat transparent, indicating that transparency is an important component of performance reviews. Because companies work hard to keep lines of communication open, none of the respondents said there was a lack of transparency. Transparency in the assessment process guarantees that workers comprehend the standards by which their work is judged, so promoting systemic confidence. Workers are more likely to take constructive criticism and stay motivated to go better when they believe the process is transparent and equitable. Employees feel appreciated and acknowledged for their accomplishments when assessments are transparent, which reduces the possibility of prejudice or favouritism.

5.5 Accuracy and Satisfaction with Performance Appraisal Results

Table 5.5: Accuracy and Satisfaction

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Percentage (%)</u>
Appraisal Reflect Work	60%
Somewhat Reflect work	40%
Very Satisfied	50%
Satisfied	20%
Dissatisfied	20%

According to Table 5.5, 60% of workers think their performance reviews fairly represent their job, and 40% think so to a certain level. This suggests that most employees have belief in the assessment procedure, but there is still opportunity to make sure that assessments are entirely accurate and equitable. Clear, objective criteria and frequent feedback are essential components of a well-designed performance evaluation system in order to minimize apparent inaccuracies.

50% of workers reported being very satisfied with the review process, 20% reported being satisfied, and 20% reported not being satisfied. Because worker satisfaction with performance reviews directly affects engagement, motivation, and productivity, it is of the utmost importance. Feedback must be goal-directed, constructive, and aligned with employees' career aspirations, organizations say. Uncertainty, subjective bias, or insufficient feedback may also be the reason for dissatisfaction with evaluation. Businesses must focus on enhancing transparency of evaluations, incorporating self-appraisals, and providing employees with the opportunity to discuss their performance with management in order to enhance employees' satisfaction. Apart from developing employee confidence, a complete and fair assessment system fosters overall company development and performance.

5.6 Motivation and Fairness of the Appraisal Process

Table 5.6: Motivation and Fairness

<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Percentage (%)</u>
Feel Motivated	80%
Motivated Sometimes	20%
Fair & Unbiased	80%
Experienced Favouritism	50%

As indicated by Table 5.6, which shows that 80% of employees feel motivated to improve after receiving feedback from their assessments, performance reviews are important for promoting employee motivation and development. Employees can better establish objectives and advance their abilities when they get regular, constructive feedback that helps them identify their areas of strength and growth. Nonetheless, 20% of employees say they are only sometimes motivated, which raises the possibility that certain evaluation methods are erratic, ineffective, or fail to offer tailored feedback. It is essential for employers to ensure that evaluation discussions are intentional and inspire employees to take tangible steps that will further their careers.

Additionally, 50% employees respond they experienced bias or favouritism, even though 80% of them believe the assessment process is impartial and fair. This paradox implies that although the system as a whole is seen as equitable, there can be variations in how it is applied by various groups or supervisors. Bias or partiality in evaluations can have a serious negative effect on worker morale, job satisfaction, and leadership trust. Organizations should build 360-degree feedback channels, train managers to perform fair and objective assessments, and set standard evaluation standards. Encouraging open communication and allowing employees to appeal their appraisals are yet other methods of making a more transparent and equitable performance evaluation process. Creating a meritocratic and fair culture can potentially make organizations have higher employee enthusiasm, trust, and long-term commitment at work.

5.7 Impact on Career Development

Table 5.7: Impact of Performance Appraisals on Career Development

<u>Impact on Career Growth</u>	<u>Percentage (%)</u>
Very Helpful	90%
Somewhat Helpful	10%

According to Table 5.7, 90% of employees think that performance reviews are essential for advancing their careers because they assist them pinpoint their areas of strength, development potential, and improvement areas. Nonetheless, 10% believe that assessments only marginally enhance careers, maybe as a result of a dearth of useful information. In order to increase efficacy, companies should concentrate on making assessments more developmental by including training opportunities, mentoring programs, and individualized feedback, making sure that staff members can convert comments into significant career advancement.

VI. FINDINGS

The findings of the survey show that employees typically have a good opinion of performance reviews, with 90% recognizing their contribution to professional development and 10% remaining unsure. No unfavourable remarks were noted, underscoring the need of organized and skilfully carried out assessments. Workers stated that evaluations helped them clearly identify their areas of strength and growth, encouraging a culture of lifelong learning. Nearly 30% of respondents expressed worry that imprecise performance objectives might result in discontent and disengagement, highlighting issues with subjectivity, biases, and uneven grading standards. Furthermore, while though many workers appreciated supervisors' organized comments, others felt that an annual evaluation was insufficient and argued for more frequent assessments to better line with company goals.

These conclusions are supported by the secondary data analysis since earlier studies have shown how important an equitable and open assessment procedure is for raising employee motivation and output. According to studies, companies with well-designed assessment systems report better levels of dedication and involvement from their workforce. Workers who believe that assessments are impartial, and fair are more likely to set high standards for themselves and be more proactive in their job. Furthermore, studies show that regular evaluations lead to long-term performance improvement, making continual feedback superior to yearly reviews. Implementing multi-source feedback systems, educating managers on objective evaluation techniques, and defining appraisal criteria to guarantee fairness and transparency are some ways that businesses may overcome subjectivity problems and increase the efficacy of appraisals.

VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

Enhancing Transparency and Objectivity: To reduce bias, organizations can use multi-source feedback channels such as 360-degree reviews and standardize evaluation standards. A fair and open assessment process will be ensured by clearly communicating performance objectives.

Increasing Frequency of Performance Reviews: Companies should use quarterly or monthly feedback sessions in place of yearly assessments. Frequent assessments promote engagement, give timely improvements, and keep staff members in line with business goals.

Providing Training for Managers and Evaluators: Conducting training sessions on objective evaluation techniques, unconscious bias, and effective feedback delivery will help managers assess employees fairly. Standardized assessment frameworks can reduce subjectivity and favouritism.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to present my gratitude towards all those people who have come up in order to help me out with this task of completing this report on time. Firstly, I would like my college which gave this subject to us so that we can collect different information on a particular topic. I would like to thank all those people who presented their views on my topic. I would also like to thank my faculty in charge of this subject Dr. Sailaja Bohara for her constant follow ups and friendly support, perfect teachings or guidelines and motivational or encouraging words.

REFERENCES

- [1] Costantini, A., Panfilo, S., and Mio, C. (2021). A comprehensive overview of the literature on the application of the sustainability balanced scorecard as a performance measuring tool for sustainable business. *Environmental Management and Corporate Social Responsibility*, 29(2), 367–384. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2206>
- [2] Saukkonen, N., Laine, T., Korhonen, T., & Jääskeläinen, A. (2023). How project-based operations may succeed with the help of performance measurement. *Project Management International*, 41(1), 102429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2022.11.002>
- [3] Salloum, S. A., Al Kurdi, B., Alsuwaidi, M., and Alshurideh, M. (2021). A thorough examination of the impact of performance reviews on workers' motivation. 681-693 in *Proceedings of the 2020 International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Systems and Informatics*. International Publishing, Springer. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-58669-0_61
- [4] Martinez-De-Morentin, S., Galdon-Sanchez, J. E., and Bayo-Moriones, A. (2020). Performance evaluation, business strategy, and organizational outcomes. 515–534. *Personnel Review*, 50(2), <https://doi.org/10.1108/pr-09-2019-0498>
- [5] Bititci, U. S., Garengo, P., and Nudurupati, S. S. (2021). effects of the evolving corporate environment on management techniques and performance evaluation. 232, 107942, *International Journal of Production Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107942>
- [6] Revista Contabilidade & Finanças, 33, e1503. Frare, A. B., Colombo, V. L. B., & Beuren, I. M. (2022). Green work engagement, environmental satisfaction, and performance measurement methods. <https://www.scielo.br/j/rcf/a/4hnxHzNbrJcjSRTmHrvbnzw/>
- [7] Pimenta, S., Duarte, A. P., & Simões, E. (2023). How socially responsible human resource management fosters work engagement: the role of perceived organizational support and affective organizational commitment. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 20(2), 326–343. <https://doi.org/10.1108/srj-10-2022-0442>
- [8] Vejayaratnam, N., Anathuri, A., Seksyen, S., Bangi, B. B., Bao, H. J., Cheng, H. K., & Bakar, A. A. (2021). An investigation of the functions of human resources, including hiring, training and development,

performance reviews, and pay. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship and Global Business (GBSE)*, 7(20), 11–20.

[9] Chipperfield, J. G., Pekrun, R., Dryden, R. P., Hamm, J. M., Parker, P. C., Perry, R. P., & Tze, V. M. (2021). A motivational viewpoint on performance, feelings, and accomplishment evaluations in an online learning setting. *Journal of Educational Research International*, 108, 101772. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2021.101772>

[10] On June 24, 2021, A. Subekti. Effects of performance review systems on motivation and work satisfaction. Presented by Subekti to the International Journal of Economics, Business, and Accounting Research, IJEBAR. Internet address <https://jurnal.stie-aas.ac.id/index.php/IJEBAR/article/view/2484/1139>

[11] Abeykoon, C., Ranaweera, R. a. a. S., Dasanayaka, C. H., & Koswatte, I. (2021). the effect of the performance review procedure on academic staff members' job satisfaction at postsecondary educational institutions. 11(10), 623. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11100623>

[12] In 2023, Hamidi, A. B. performance reviews' effects on organizational behavior and workers' job happiness. *Journal of Social Science in Ilomata*, 4(3), 466–480. The article <https://doi.org/10.52728/ijss.v4i3.876>

[13] Sahay, U., Kaur, G., & Chandigarh University's University School of Business. (2021). A methodical analysis of how the Competency Management Framework and performance appraisal methods affect telecom workers' performance. pp. 2515–2531 in *PSYCHOLOGY AND EDUCATION*, Vol. 58, Issue 1. This document can be found at <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/f93c/d500f67fbd19863c6b6535fc1a7aba6379a1.pdf>.

