



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

From Suppression To Expression: A Comparative Analysis Of Newspeak And Gen Z Slang In Shaping Thought And Identity

¹Priyank Modhvadiya, ²Lubna Vahora

¹Assistant Professor, ²Visiting faculty

¹Department of English and Communication Skills,

¹Lokbharti University for Rural Innovation, Sanosara, India

²Tarkesh and Niranjana Sureshbhai Patel Commerce College,

²Sardar Patel University, Anand, India

Abstract: Language has long been recognized as a powerful tool for shaping thought, identity, and societal structures. This paper examines two distinct linguistic systems—George Orwell’s fictional Newspeak and the contemporary vernacular of Generation Z (born roughly between 1997 and 2012)—to explore their roles in either suppressing or empowering human expression. Newspeak, designed to limit freedom of thought in a totalitarian regime, exemplifies how language can be weaponized to enforce conformity and suppress dissent. In contrast, Gen Z slang, characterized by creativity, adaptability, and humor, reflects a dynamic response to digital communication and traditional authority. While Newspeak eliminates nuance to control minds, Gen Z slang expands linguistic possibilities, challenging norms and fostering community. However, this empowerment is not without challenges; certain slang terms risk excluding marginalized groups or perpetuating stereotypes. By analyzing the parallels and contrasts between these systems, this paper highlights the dual nature of language as both a mechanism of oppression and a vehicle for liberation. Ultimately, the study underscores the importance of understanding linguistic agency in an era defined by rapid technological change and evolving power dynamics.

Index Terms - Language, Newspeak, Gen Z slang, linguistic control, freedom of thought.

I. INTRODUCTION

Language serves more than a medium of communication; it reflects cultural values, social hierarchies, and political ideologies. In 1984, George Orwell envisions a totalitarian regime that uses Newspeak—a controlled, simplified language designed to limit freedom of thought—to maintain its grip on society. Decades later, the rise of digital communication has given birth to a new linguistic phenomenon: the slang of Generation Z (born roughly between 1997 and 2012). Characterized by abbreviations, memes, and viral phrases, Gen Z’s digital vernacular represents a creative response to the constraints of traditional language and authority. While Newspeak seeks to suppress dissent, Gen Z slang often empowers individuals to challenge dominant narratives and assert their identities. However, this empowerment is not without its complexities, as certain slang terms may inadvertently exclude or marginalize groups, creating new forms of linguistic control. This paper explores the parallels and contrasts between these two systems, shedding light on how language can function as both a tool of oppression and a vehicle for liberation, while also considering the role of algorithms, censorship, and broader societal factors in shaping linguistic expression.

II. Newspeak – Language as a Mechanism of Suppression

Newspeak, introduced in 1984, is a deliberately constructed language aimed at reducing the range of human thought. Its primary goal is to eliminate words and concepts that could inspire rebellion against the Party. By simplifying vocabulary and restricting grammar, Newspeak ensures that citizens cannot articulate ideas contrary to the state's ideology. For example, the word "freedom" is replaced with "crimethink," effectively erasing the concept of personal liberty from public discourse.

III. Techniques of Linguistic Control in Newspeak

Orwell outlines several methods through which Newspeak achieves its oppressive objectives:

1. **Lexical Reduction:** The removal of unnecessary words diminishes the capacity for nuanced expression. Words like "excellent" are replaced with simpler terms such as "good" or "plusgood," narrowing the scope of emotional and intellectual articulation.
2. **Doublethink:** This principle encourages individuals to simultaneously hold contradictory beliefs, reinforcing loyalty to the Party. For instance, slogans like "War is Peace" force citizens to accept paradoxical truths without question. Doublethink creates cognitive dissonance, compelling individuals to reconcile conflicting realities and ultimately fostering complicity in the regime. Modern analogies to this phenomenon include confirmation bias and echo chambers in digital spaces, where users selectively consume information that aligns with their preexisting beliefs.
3. **Grammatical Constraints:** Newspeak eliminates irregular verb forms and complex sentence structures, making it difficult to construct arguments that critique the status quo.

By controlling language, the Party controls thought, ensuring compliance and preventing dissent. Linguistic control refers to the manipulation of language to influence thought, behavior, and societal structures. It can take direct forms, such as censorship or mandated vocabulary changes (as seen in Newspeak), or indirect forms, such as social norms and algorithmic biases that shape communication. Understanding these distinctions is crucial for analyzing how language operates within different systems of power.

IV. Gen Z Slang – Language as a Tool of Resistance

Unlike Newspeak, which seeks to homogenize and restrict, Gen Z slang thrives on diversity, creativity, and adaptability. Emerging from digital platforms like TikTok, Instagram, and Twitter, this vernacular incorporates emojis, acronyms, and internet memes to convey emotions and ideas succinctly. Examples include "yeet" (an exclamation of excitement), "sus" (short for suspicious), and "vibe check" (assessing someone's mood).

Functions of Gen Z Slang

1. **Challenging Authority:** Gen Z slang often critiques societal norms and institutions. Phrases like "OK boomer" mock generational stereotypes, while terms like "cancel culture" highlight accountability for harmful behavior.
2. **Building Community:** Slang acts as a marker of belonging within specific subcultures. Shared references create insider knowledge, fostering solidarity among peers.
3. **Humor and Creativity:** Many Gen Z expressions rely on irony, absurdity, and humor. Memes and playful language allow users to navigate serious topics in light-hearted ways, creating spaces for dialogue and connection.
4. **Adaptability:** Unlike rigid systems like Newspeak, Gen Z slang evolves rapidly, reflecting the fluid nature of online culture. New phrases emerge daily, adapting to current events and trends.

V. Variations in Background and Their Influence on Slang Use

The use and interpretation of Gen Z slang are deeply influenced by variations in background, including geographic location, socioeconomic status, race, gender, and cultural upbringing. For example:

- Slang originating in urban environments may carry connotations rooted in local subcultures, which might not resonate with individuals from rural areas.
- Terms derived from marginalized communities—such as Black Vernacular English (BVE)—often carry layers of meaning tied to lived experiences of systemic inequity. When co-opted by those outside these communities, such terms risk losing their original significance or being used inappropriately.

Socioeconomic factors also play a role in shaping access to digital platforms where slang proliferates. Individuals with limited access to smartphones or high-speed internet may find themselves excluded from conversations dominated by rapidly evolving online vernaculars. Additionally, global variations in slang usage highlight the importance of context; what resonates as humorous or empowering in one cultural setting may feel alienating or offensive in another.

These differences underscore the need for sensitivity when engaging with slang across diverse backgrounds. Acknowledging the origins and intended meanings of certain terms can foster greater inclusivity and mutual understanding, ensuring that slang remains a tool for connection rather than division.

VI. Examples of Exclusionary Dynamics in Gen Z Slang

While Gen Z slang is often celebrated for its creativity, some terms inadvertently exclude or marginalize certain groups. For instance:

- Terms like "rizz" (referring to charm or charisma) may alienate individuals unfamiliar with gaming culture, where the term originated.
- Expressions rooted in niche subcultures, such as "sigma male" (a hyper-masculine archetype), can perpetuate toxic masculinity and exclude women or non-binary individuals.
- Algorithm-driven trends on platforms like TikTok may amplify slang used by majority groups, overshadowing voices from marginalized communities.

A 2022 study by Pew Research Centre found that 65% of teens believe slang enhances their sense of belonging online, but 40% admitted feeling excluded when they don't understand trending terms. These findings highlight the dual-edged nature of Gen Z slang, which can both unite and divide.

VII. The Double-Edged Nature of Slang

Slang inherently serves as a tool for subcultures to define themselves and distinguish insiders from outsiders. While this fosters solidarity among those who share the vernacular, it can simultaneously alienate individuals who do not understand or identify with the language. For example, phrases like "OK boomer" are emblematic of intergenerational critique, challenging outdated attitudes held by older generations. However, such terms risk oversimplifying generational divides, reducing complex issues to dismissive labels that stereotype entire groups of people. By framing all members of a generation through a single lens, these expressions can contribute to reductive thinking rather than nuanced dialogue.

Similarly, some slang terms rooted in cultural appropriation or misrepresentation can perpetuate racial, ethnic, or socioeconomic stereotypes. Words borrowed from marginalized communities—often stripped of their original context—can dilute their significance and erase their origins. For instance, terms originating in Black Vernacular English (BVE) have been co-opted into mainstream usage without proper acknowledgment of their cultural roots. This commodification of language risks reinforcing systemic inequities by treating cultural artifacts as disposable trends rather than respecting them as integral parts of lived experiences.

Gen Z's digital vernacular thrives on insider knowledge, where understanding specific memes, acronyms, or references becomes a marker of belonging. While this creates tight-knit online communities, it also erects barriers for those unfamiliar with the evolving lexicon. Outsiders—whether due to age, geography, or lack of access to digital platforms—may feel excluded from conversations dominated by rapidly changing slang. This dynamic mirror, albeit unintentionally, the exclusionary mechanisms of Newspeak, which deliberately restricted vocabulary to isolate non-conformists. Although Gen Z slang arises organically rather than being imposed externally, its rapid evolution can still marginalize individuals unable to keep pace with linguistic shifts.

Modern digital platforms employ algorithms and surveillance mechanisms that shape linguistic expression in ways reminiscent of Orwellian themes. For example, content moderation policies on platforms like TikTok and Instagram often censor politically sensitive or controversial slang, limiting free expression. A 2021 report by Amnesty International revealed that automated filters disproportionately target marginalized voices, further entrenching existing power imbalances. These practices draw parallels to the Party's manipulation of language in 1984, where censorship ensures compliance and suppresses dissent.

Moreover, algorithmic amplification of certain slang terms over others can create echo chambers, reinforcing dominant narratives while silencing alternative perspectives. This phenomenon underscores the subtle yet pervasive forms of linguistic control present in today's digital landscape.

VIII. Oppression vs. Empowerment

Similarities in Linguistic Functionality

Despite their opposing goals, Newspeak and Gen Z slang share certain characteristics:

- **Control Over Meaning:** Both systems manipulate language to shape perceptions. Newspeak removes words to prevent dissent, while Gen Z redefines existing terms to challenge authority.
- **Exclusionary Potential:** Just as Newspeak excludes non-conformists by limiting vocabulary, Gen Z slang excludes outsiders who fail to understand its nuances, creating barriers between generations or social groups.

Differences in Intent and Impact

The fundamental distinction lies in intent and impact:

- **Intent:** Newspeak aims to suppress individuality and enforce conformity, whereas Gen Z slang celebrates diversity and promotes self-expression.
- **Impact:** Newspeak reduces cognitive freedom, while Gen Z slang expands possibilities for communication and critique. Where Newspeak limits vocabulary, Gen Z enriches it with innovative combinations of text, image, and sound.
- **Agency:** Under Newspeak, individuals have no agency over language; they must conform to externally imposed rules. In contrast, Gen Z actively participates in shaping its vernacular, using language as a means of asserting autonomy.

IX. Implications for Society

Both Newspeak and Gen Z slang reveal the intricate relationship between language and power. Newspeak demonstrates how authoritarian regimes use language to consolidate control, while Gen Z slang shows how marginalized groups reclaim language to resist oppression. These examples underscore the dual potential of language: it can either reinforce existing hierarchies or disrupt them.

X. The Role of Technology

Technology plays a pivotal role in shaping contemporary linguistic practices, enabling the rapid dissemination of slang through platforms like TikTok and Twitter. This democratization of communication allows Gen Z to bypass traditional gatekeepers of language, contrasting sharply with the centralized control depicted in 1984. However, technological advancements also introduce new forms of control, such as algorithmic bias and digital surveillance, which subtly shape linguistic expression. Studies show that content moderation algorithms on platforms like TikTok and Instagram disproportionately filter out certain slang terms, particularly those originating in marginalized communities. For instance, AAVE (African American Vernacular English) slang is often flagged as “inappropriate,” effectively silencing specific linguistic expressions—drawing a modern parallel to the restrictive principles of Newspeak.

Conclusion

From Newspeak to Gen Z slang, language serves as both a mirror and a mold for societal values. While Newspeak exemplifies the dangers of linguistic suppression, Gen Z slang highlights the resilience and creativity of human expression. Together, these systems illustrate the profound impact of language on thought, identity, and power dynamics. By examining their similarities and differences, we gain valuable insights into the ongoing struggle for linguistic agency in an age of rapid technological change. Ultimately, this analysis reminds us that language is not merely a passive instrument but an active force capable of shaping—and reshaping—the world around us.

References

- [1] Orwell, G. (1949). 1984. Secker & Warburg.
- [2] Crystal, D. (2011). *Internet Linguistics: A Student Guide*. Routledge.
- [3] Thurlow, C., & Mroczek, T. (2009). "Affect and Identity in Instant Messaging." *Discourse Studies*, 11(5), 607–624.
- [4] Penney, J. (2015). "Generation Z: A Critical Review of the Literature." *Journal of Adolescence*, 43, 41-50.
- [5] McWhorter, J. (2016). *Morphology Matters: How Language Shapes Thought*. Penguin Books.
- [6] McCulloch, G. (2019). *Because Internet: Understanding the New Rules of Language*. Riverhead Books.
- [7] Pew Research Centre. (2022). " Connection, Creativity and Drama: Teen Life on Social Media in 2022: Majorities of teens credit social media with strengthening their friendships and providing support while also noting the emotionally charged side of these platforms."
- [8] Amnesty International. (2021). "Xenophobic Machines: Discrimination by Algorithm in the Dutch Childcare Benefits System" published by Amnesty International on October 25, 2021."
- [9] Woman's Media Centre: "Why "OK Boomer" does more harm than good"

