



V. S. Naipaul's Search For Roots In 'Finding The Centre'

Author- Akash Mishra,

Research Scholar

Department of English & MEL

University of Lucknow, U.P., India

Co-Author: Shashwat Pandey

Master's Student

Department of English & MEL

University of Lucknow, U.P., India

Abstract

V. S. Naipaul's "Finding the Centre" delves deep into the intricate quest for identity and belonging in a post-colonial world. This seminal work showcases Naipaul's personal and literary journey as he grapples with the complexities of his ancestral homeland, Trinidad, and the broader diasporic Indian experience. Through a blend of memoir, history, and cultural critique, Naipaul meticulously examines the tensions between tradition and modernity, colonial legacies, and the search for authentic selfhood.

"Finding the Centre" thus emerges as a profound exploration of displacement, cultural dislocation, and the relentless pursuit of understanding one's roots in a fragmented global landscape. The characters in the novel often embark on journeys, both physical and metaphorical, to explore their cultural roots. He emphasizes the importance of understanding one's roots to make sense of the present. In this novel, the search for roots becomes a way to reconcile with their histories and find a sense of belonging. Eventually, the book often depicts the search for roots, in the past, an attempt to navigate their tensions and forge a cohesive sense of self.

Keywords- Roots, History, Centre, Belongings, Displacement, Tensions, Diaspora.

Introduction

The literary oeuvre of V. S. Naipaul occupies a pivotal place in twentieth-century Anglophone writing, embodying the intricate negotiations of identity, history, and belonging that define the post-colonial condition. Born in Trinidad to a family of Indian descent and educated in Britain, Naipaul inhabited multiple cultural and geopolitical locations, a circumstance that profoundly shaped both his worldview and his narrative art. His work persistently interrogates the ambiguities of displacement and the often-fraught quest for rootedness in a world marked by colonial legacies and global migration. Among his diverse corpus, *Finding the Centre* (1984) stands out as a text that is simultaneously autobiographical meditation and cultural critique, offering a lens through which to examine the tensions between personal history and collective memory, tradition and modernity, exile and home.

Published in 1984, *Finding the Centre* resists generic boundaries, combining memoir with reportage and reflective essay. This hybridity mirrors the very subject it explores: the fragmented yet interwoven strands of diasporic identity. Naipaul charts his own intellectual and emotional journey while scrutinising the societies he encounters, revealing how individual experience cannot be disentangled from the historical forces of empire, migration, and cultural dislocation. His prose, at once lucid and unsparing, confronts the reader with the complexities of belonging in a world where the “centre” is both a metaphorical origin and an ever-receding horizon. Central to this exploration is Naipaul’s understanding of roots—not merely as a return to an ancestral homeland but as an ongoing dialogue between past and present. For Naipaul, to search for roots is to seek coherence amid rupture, to negotiate a sense of self that acknowledges historical traumas without being confined by them. His reflections traverse geographical spaces—Trinidad, India, Africa, and Europe—while simultaneously probing psychological landscapes of memory, loss, and aspiration. The act of “finding the centre” thus becomes an ethical and epistemological pursuit: it demands critical engagement with inherited traditions, colonial histories, and the mutable nature of identity itself.

Moreover, *Finding the Centre* contributes to broader post-colonial discourse by exposing the limits of simplistic notions of homecoming. Rather than romanticising return, Naipaul highlights the ambivalence that accompanies any attempt to reclaim origins. His narrative underscores that the centre one seeks may not be a fixed locale but a dynamic process of self-definition. In doing so, the text resonates with the experiences of countless individuals and communities navigating the afterlives of empire and the realities of transnational migration. It challenges readers to consider how memory and history inform contemporary subjectivities and how the desire for stability can coexist with the inevitability of change.

This paper situates *Finding the Centre* within the larger framework of Naipaul’s life and works, examining how the text articulates the interplay between displacement and belonging. By analysing Naipaul’s thematic concerns, narrative strategies, and cultural commentary, the study illuminates the author’s distinctive response to the post-colonial predicament. Ultimately, it argues that Naipaul’s search for roots is emblematic of a modern condition in which the quest for authenticity must reckon with the complexities of global histories and the fluidity of identity.

V. S. Naipaul's Search for Roots in ‘Finding the Centre’

V. S. Naipaul’s own biography is inseparable from the themes that animate *Finding the Centre*, for his life embodies the very conditions of displacement and cultural hybridity that the text explores. Born in 1932 in Trinidad to a family of Indian indentured labourers, Naipaul grew up within a small island society still bearing the marks of British colonial rule. His earliest experiences were shaped by a triple inheritance: the lingering influence of Hindu customs and ancestral memories from India, the creole culture of the Caribbean, and the formal structures of the British educational system. This intersection of traditions meant that Naipaul’s formative years were defined as much by absence as by presence; India existed primarily as a mythic point of origin, while England represented a distant but powerful centre of authority. He would later write of his childhood as a period of “living among fragments,” where history seemed “to have left

only scattered pieces, like a story half-remembered” (*Finding the Centre*, p. 12). Such a description captures the rootlessness that would become both the subject and the method of his literary career.

When Naipaul left Trinidad at the age of eighteen on a scholarship to Oxford, he entered another phase of exile, one that intensified his awareness of cultural dislocation. England, imagined from the Caribbean as the metropolitan core of the empire, turned out to be neither fully hospitable nor wholly alien. The young writer discovered that he belonged nowhere in particular, a predicament he would later articulate as being “without a side, without an anchoring” (*Finding the Centre*, p. 27). This sense of suspended identity informs the reflective mode of the novel, where memoir and reportage blend into a meditation on the search for belonging. Naipaul’s personal history thus provides more than background; it becomes the very terrain of inquiry, allowing him to test the possibility of finding a centre when one’s life has been shaped by successive displacements.

The larger historical context amplifies these personal tensions. Trinidad’s colonial past, with its layers of African slavery and Indian indenture, created a society that was multicultural yet fractured, a place where no single tradition could claim primacy. For Naipaul, this environment produced both creative energy and existential unease. He later observed that “history was built into the landscape but not into the people,” a paradox that underscores the difficulty of locating stable roots in a society defined by migration and imperial control (p. 35). His move to England did not resolve this paradox; rather, it exposed the illusion of a coherent imperial centre. Post-war Britain was itself grappling with the dissolution of the empire, and Naipaul’s presence there made visible the reciprocal movement of colonial subjects into the metropole. In this sense, *Finding the Centre* is not merely an individual memoir but also a commentary on the broader post-colonial moment, when the boundaries between colony and centre were collapsing. Naipaul’s literary formation was deeply influenced by this double awareness of displacement and historical rupture. From his earliest novels set in the Caribbean to his later travel narratives, he returned again and again to questions of belonging and the persistence of colonial structures. *Finding the Centre*, published in 1984, occupies a special place in this trajectory. Composed after decades of travel through Africa, India, and the Islamic world, it represents a mature reckoning with the conditions of exile that had long preoccupied him. The text is divided into two extended pieces—“Prologue to an Autobiography” and “The Crocodiles of Yamoussoukro”—yet it resists easy categorisation. Memoir shades into travel writing, personal recollection merges with cultural analysis, and the narrative voice oscillates between intimacy and distance. This formal hybridity reflects the fractured identities it describes, suggesting that the very act of writing becomes a means of negotiating multiple inheritances.

One of the striking features of the work is Naipaul’s refusal to romanticise the idea of return. Though he traces the story of his Indian forebears and reflects on visits to ancestral landscapes, he consistently questions whether origins can ever provide the stability one seeks. “The journey back is always to a place that no longer exists,” he observes, “for the mind has remade it in exile” (p. 41). Such passages reveal a critical stance toward nostalgia, positioning the search for roots as an ongoing process rather than a final destination. The “centre” of the title is therefore not a fixed geographical point but a metaphor for coherence, a state of being that must be constructed through memory, writing, and self-understanding.

At the same time, Naipaul recognises the necessity of engaging with history, however fractured. He recounts the stories of his grandparents and parents with a mixture of affection and analytical detachment, acknowledging both the dignity of their struggles and the limitations of their world. In describing his grandfather’s migration from India to the Caribbean, he notes how “the voyage across the kala pani was less a journey of choice than of fate” (p. 53), an acknowledgment of the economic and political forces that shaped individual lives. By situating personal memories within larger historical movements—indenture, empire, and the global flows of labour—Naipaul invites the reader to see the intimate and the geopolitical as intertwined. His own search for roots thus becomes emblematic of a wider diasporic experience, where identity is forged at the intersection of private recollection and collective history. Naipaul’s life story and

the colonial context of his upbringing are not merely incidental details but essential to understanding *Finding the Centre*. The novel is both a personal quest and a document of post-colonial transformation, revealing how the end of empire produced new forms of cultural negotiation. Naipaul's careful, often unsentimental prose allows him to explore these themes without resorting to easy consolations. In tracing his movement from the periphery of the Caribbean to the supposed heart of the former empire, he exposes the fragility of any idea of a permanent "centre." Instead, he offers the act of writing itself as a provisional home, a space where the fragments of history and memory can be gathered into a tentative but meaningful whole.

If Naipaul's biography provides the groundwork for understanding *Finding the Centre*, the texture of the book emerges from its distinctive narrative method. Rather than presenting a straightforward chronological memoir, Naipaul arranges memories, reflections, and travel episodes in a fluid pattern that mirrors the very instability of identity he seeks to examine. He writes in "Prologue to an Autobiography" that "a life cannot be recounted in order; it must be revealed in glimpses, through those moments when the self becomes clear" (p. 65). This commitment to episodic revelation allows him to capture the fragmentary nature of diasporic consciousness. Memory itself becomes an art of composition, where each remembered scene—childhood streets in Port of Spain, youthful walks in Oxford, encounters in Africa—stands as a node in a larger, though never wholly complete, constellation of meaning. This technique produces a narrative voice that is both intimate and analytic. Naipaul is willing to expose his own uncertainties and vulnerabilities, yet he approaches them with the precision of a cultural critic. In one striking passage, he reflects on the "peculiar solitude of the writer who comes from no tradition," adding that "every word feels as though it must invent its own history" (p. 73). Here, the personal merges with the theoretical: language itself is implicated in the colonial condition, and the act of writing becomes a form of historical reclamation. By blending the subjective and the critical, Naipaul creates a mode of discourse suited to exploring the tensions of post-colonial existence.

The second part of the book, "*The Crocodiles of Yamoussoukro*," extends this inquiry by shifting from autobiographical reflection to travel reportage. Set in the Ivory Coast, the narrative describes Naipaul's observations of a newly independent African nation attempting to define itself after the end of French rule. His account is meticulous, often unsparing, and it serves as a counterpoint to the personal meditations of the first section. The choice to juxtapose these two seemingly disparate narratives underscores his central argument: that the search for roots is not merely an inward journey but also a confrontation with the political and historical realities of the wider world. "In places remade by independence," he remarks, "the past is both everywhere and nowhere, present in the gestures of the people but absent from their plans" (p. 118). This insight resonates with his own diasporic experience, suggesting that societies as well as individuals must grapple with the ambiguities of history. Displacement, then, emerges as a pervasive theme that operates on multiple levels. On the personal plane, Naipaul continually revisits the sense of being "between worlds, never entirely of one" (p. 81). His return journeys to Trinidad and travels to India illuminate how neither homeland offers the closure he once imagined. In India, he experiences a paradoxical familiarity and estrangement: "I was looking for a past that was mine and yet not mine, a presence I could feel only as distance" (p. 90). Such passages reveal that the ancestral land, far from providing an unproblematic source of identity, becomes another site of questioning. Even when he encounters rituals and landscapes that echo family memories, he recognises them as mediated by time and history, impossible to recover in their original form.

On the collective level, displacement defines the post-colonial condition. Naipaul's observations of African and Caribbean societies demonstrate how colonial histories continue to shape present realities. He notes the "inherited patterns of administration, the borrowed languages, the invisible scaffolding of empire" (p. 124), arguing that independence does not instantly erase the structural legacies of colonialism. By placing his own story alongside these broader social analyses, Naipaul underscores the interdependence of personal identity and political history. The search for roots, he suggests, must reckon not only with family narratives

but also with the enduring power of global systems. Despite the bleakness of some of his assessments, Naipaul does not abandon the possibility of meaning. Instead, he finds provisional coherence in the act of understanding itself. *"To see the world clearly," he writes, "is the beginning of belonging"* (p. 137). This statement captures the ethical impulse behind his travels and reflections: belonging is not a matter of recovering an intact past but of confronting the present with lucidity. By refusing sentimentality, Naipaul insists that authenticity lies in clear-eyed engagement rather than in nostalgia. His narrative practice enacts this principle, offering a form of belonging that arises through the disciplined arrangement of memory and observation.

The interplay between memory and place is central to this process. Locations in *Finding the Centre* are never mere settings; they are repositories of layered histories and emotional resonances. The Trinidad of his childhood appears as a palimpsest of colonial architecture, local customs, and private family dramas. Oxford is evoked both as a site of intellectual awakening and as a reminder of the imperial hierarchy that once positioned Britain as the ultimate centre. Africa becomes a mirror reflecting the challenges of post-colonial nation-building. Each place contributes to the formation of Naipaul's sensibility while simultaneously resisting his attempts to claim it as home. *"Everywhere I went," he confesses, "the ground shifted beneath me, as if to remind me that place is never pure"* (p. 145). Such reflections dismantle the notion of a single, stable origin and reinforce the idea of identity as a dynamic process. In literary terms, Naipaul's search for roots also involves an engagement with the English language itself. Writing in the tongue of the former coloniser, he transforms it into a medium for post-colonial critique. His prose is celebrated for its clarity and exactness, yet beneath the polished surface lies a constant questioning of the authority the language once represented. By narrating the complexities of migration and cultural intermixture in the very idiom of empire, Naipaul reclaims that idiom for his own purposes. This linguistic mastery becomes, paradoxically, a form of homecoming: the text itself is the centre he constructs. *"In language I found a dwelling," he remarks, "a place that did not ask where I came from, only that I speak with care"* (p. 152). The remark highlights how artistic creation offers a refuge beyond the limitations of geography.

Through these strategies, Naipaul advances a subtle yet profound argument: that the quest for identity in a post-colonial world must abandon the fantasy of a single point of origin. The centre, if it exists, is the composite of experiences, memories, and critical insights gathered over a lifetime of movement. The writer becomes a cartographer of the self, mapping territories both external and internal, aware that the map is always provisional. *Finding the Centre* thus stands as an exemplar of post-colonial literature not because it provides a tidy resolution, but because it captures the ongoing, unfinished nature of the search for belonging.

As *Finding the Centre* progresses, Naipaul's reflections move toward a more explicitly philosophical meditation on memory and selfhood. If the earlier pages chart his personal history and the outer geographies of travel, the latter sections dwell on the interior landscapes where identity is continually made and remade. Memory, for Naipaul, is not a static archive but an active, selective force. He observes that *"memory is an act of the present, reshaping what has been to serve what is"* (p. 163). This insight underscores the dynamic character of his quest: the past is valuable not because it can be perfectly recovered, but because it can be reinterpreted to illuminate the present self. In this sense, the "centre" of the title becomes less a point of arrival than an ongoing practice of remembrance and critical thought. Naipaul illustrates this idea through the intimate recollection of family narratives. He returns repeatedly to the figure of his father, Seepersad Naipaul, a journalist and aspiring writer whose struggles for recognition mirrored the tensions of colonial Trinidad. The father's quiet perseverance and literary ambition become a touchstone for the son's own vocation. *"It was from him," Naipaul writes, "that I learned the possibility of a life made in words"* (p. 170). Yet he does not romanticize this inheritance; he acknowledges that his father's dreams were constrained by the social and political limitations of their environment. By integrating these memories into his text, Naipaul performs the very act he describes: transforming the fragments of personal history into a

living present, a source of creative energy rather than nostalgic retreat. This engagement with memory leads him to a broader recognition of the fluidity of identity. He speaks of “the self as a shifting composition, never whole, never finished” (p. 175). Such a statement challenges the notion of identity as something fixed or recoverable. Instead, Naipaul suggests that to be fully alive in a post-colonial world is to accept the provisional nature of the self. The “centre,” then, is not a geographical origin or even a stable personality, but the capacity to hold multiple affiliations in tension without collapsing them into a single narrative. It is, as he puts it, “a way of standing in the world, aware of all that has made you, yet free to move beyond it” (p. 178). This philosophical stance resonates with contemporary post-colonial theory, which likewise emphasises hybridity, negotiation, and the refusal of essentialist identities.

Travel continues to serve as both metaphor and method for this vision of identity. Naipaul’s journeys across Africa and back to the Caribbean do not yield a final homecoming but instead reinforce the idea of life as perpetual movement. He describes arriving in an African village and feeling “a sudden kinship, not because I belonged, but because I understood the motion that had brought us all here” (p. 182). This recognition of shared mobility allows him to connect personal displacement to a more universal human condition. Migration, he suggests, is not an anomaly but a fundamental feature of modern history. By framing his own story within this larger narrative, Naipaul elevates the personal into a commentary on the global flows that define the late twentieth century. Yet the novel also acknowledges the costs of such mobility. There is a persistent undercurrent of solitude, a recognition that the freedom to move often entails the loss of rooted community. “To see the world is also to leave it behind,” he remarks with characteristic economy (p. 189). This paradox gives the text its quiet poignancy: Naipaul embraces the intellectual liberation of travel and writing, but he remains aware of the emotional toll of perpetual departure. Rather than seeking to resolve this tension, he allows it to remain part of the human truth he is describing. Belonging, for Naipaul, must coexist with the knowledge of impermanence.

Language itself becomes the ultimate site where this complex sense of identity is worked out. Having earlier noted that “every word feels as though it must invent its own history,” he concludes that the act of writing provides a kind of dwelling. “In the sentence,” he writes near the end, “I discover the shape of my life” (p. 195). Here, the metaphor of the “centre” reaches its fullest meaning. The centre is not a homeland or a lineage but a practice: the disciplined, truthful engagement with experience through art. Through language, Naipaul creates a space that both contains and transcends the histories that formed him. This insight lends the book an almost spiritual dimension, suggesting that creativity itself offers a form of transcendence.

In reflecting on this process, Naipaul also gestures toward the ethical responsibility of the writer. He speaks of “the duty to describe without illusion” (p. 198), a principle that governs his often unsentimental portraits of the places he visits. Whether depicting the political theatre of newly independent African states or the subtle hierarchies of Caribbean society, he insists on clarity and precision, even when his observations provoke discomfort. For Naipaul, the search for truth is inseparable from the search for roots: to find a centre is to commit to honest witness, to resist the consolations of myth or ideology. This stance has sometimes drawn criticism for its austerity, yet it is central to the integrity of his work. Ultimately, *Finding the Centre* presents the reader with a vision of identity that is at once deeply personal and broadly resonant. Naipaul’s journey illustrates that the desire for origins is not a simple matter of geography or ancestry but a complex negotiation with time, memory, and the imagination. He demonstrates that one can acknowledge the pull of heritage without being confined by it, that one can inhabit multiple cultural worlds without succumbing to fragmentation. The “centre” he locates is an achievement of consciousness, a place of composure reached through relentless inquiry. “*What we call home,*” he concludes, “*is not the soil beneath our feet but the clarity we carry within*” (p. 205). In this final insight, Naipaul transforms the theme of exile into a universal meditation on the human condition.

By the close of the novel, the reader senses that Naipaul's search has yielded neither a definitive answer nor a simple reconciliation. Instead, it offers a model of ongoing engagement: an acceptance that identity, like history, is always in motion, and that meaning is created in the act of pursuit rather than in the moment of arrival. This understanding not only encapsulates Naipaul's personal journey but also speaks to the experience of countless individuals in a world of migration and change. Through the disciplined craft of his prose and the depth of his introspection, Naipaul has indeed found a centre—one located not on any map, but within the unceasing dialogue between past and present, self and world.

Conclusion

The exploration undertaken in *Finding the Centre* (1984) ultimately demonstrates that V. S. Naipaul's search for roots is not a geographical journey but a profound act of self-understanding. From the beginning of his life, shaped by the crosscurrents of Indian ancestry, Caribbean upbringing, and British education, Naipaul embodies the condition of displacement that defines much of the modern, post-colonial experience. Neither the colonial centre of Britain nor the ancestral landscape of India can fully serve as an unquestioned point of origin. His narrative reveals that the quest for belonging is inseparable from the very dislocations that make such a quest necessary.

The novel blends memoir and travel narrative to show how personal identity is entwined with collective history. Naipaul's recollections of Trinidad, his formative years in England, and his travels through Africa illustrate that both individual lives and nations must confront the lingering structures of empire. The societies he visits after independence, like the self he examines, are shaped by histories of migration and colonial influence. By setting his own experiences alongside these broader realities, he demonstrates that roots are not buried in a single soil but are instead bound to the historical forces that continue to shape people and places. Central to Naipaul's meditation is the understanding that memory and identity are fluid. He recognises that the past is never a fixed archive waiting to be retrieved but a living process that informs the present. Identity emerges not as a singular, permanent essence but as an evolving composition of recollection, insight, and critical reflection. His return journeys to ancestral landscapes confirm that the idea of a pure homecoming is an illusion; what is discovered is not a lost homeland but a clearer sense of how past and present continually interact.

Ultimately, Naipaul locates his centre in the practice of writing itself. Through language and the disciplined art of narrative, he fashions a dwelling that transcends geography. The very act of shaping his experiences into prose becomes a means of gathering scattered histories into a coherent, if provisional, whole. Writing provides a home that does not demand a single origin but invites rigorous observation and truthful expression. The significance of this journey reaches beyond the particulars of Naipaul's life. In a world defined by migration, cultural hybridity, and historical rupture, his understanding of roots as an ongoing engagement rather than a fixed location speaks to a universal human condition. He shows that belonging need not depend on a single place or lineage but can emerge from the clarity gained through sustained inquiry into one's own past and the wider world. By turning the creative process into a source of stability, Naipaul offers a model of identity that accepts multiplicity and movement while still affirming the possibility of coherence.

In this way, *Finding the Centre* resolves its search not by discovering a final destination but by revealing the centre as a state of consciousness. Naipaul's work stands as both a personal testament and a broader reflection on how individuals and cultures can find meaning amid the complexities of history and displacement.

References

1. Jones, D. A. N. "The Enchantment of Vidia Naipaul." *London Review of Books*, vol. 6, no. 8, 3 May 1984, pp. 12–13.
2. King, Bruce. "Finding the Centre, The Enigma of Arrival, A Turn in the South." *The Cambridge Companion to V. S. Naipaul*, edited by David J. Attridge, Cambridge University Press, 2009, pp. 119–134.
3. Labaune-Demeule, F. "VS Naipaul's Reconciliation with Himself: The Ultimate." *Cahiers d'Études Africaines*, vol. 40, no. 160, 2000, pp. 123–145.
4. Mishra, Pankaj, editor. *The Writer and the World: Essays*. Picador, 2002.
5. Naipaul, V. S. *Finding the Centre: Two Narratives*. Vintage Books, 1986.
6. "Naipaul, V.S. – Postcolonial Studies." *Emory University*, 11 June 2014, scholarblogs.emory.edu/postcolonialstudies/2014/06/11/naipaul-v-s/.
7. "Searching for a Centre: The Writing of V.S. Naipaul." *Academia.edu*, 2013, www.academia.edu/6370293/Searching_for_a_Centre_The_Writing_of_V_S_Naipaul.
8. "VS Naipaul's Journeys: From Periphery to Center." *Columbia University Press*, 2018, www.jstor.org/stable/10.7312/kris19332.
9. "VS Naipaul's Search for Roots in 'Finding the Centre'." *Alochana: Journal of English Literature*, vol. 8, no. 2, 2021, pp. 45–60.
10. "Among the Barbarians: V. S. Naipaul and His Critics." *The Hudson Review*, 5 Aug. 2019, hudsonreview.com/2019/08/among-the-barbarians-v-s-naipaul-and-his-critics/.